

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/961,144	09/21/2001	Masayuki Miyaji	MTS-3276US	4687
75	90 04/21/2003			
Allan Ratner			EXAMINER	
Ratner & Presti	a Berwyn, Suite 301		CHOE, HENRY	
P.O. Box 980	Delwyn, Suite 301	()		
	A 19482-0980	17	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		//	2817	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

XX

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/961,144

Applicant(s)

Miyaji et al.

Examiner

Henry Choe

Art Unit **2817**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply	TO EVOIDE A MONITHEN FROM		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET 1 MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	U EXPIRE MONTH(5) FROM		
· Extens	viAILING DATE OF THIS COMMONICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the			
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
- Failure - Anv re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of thi	application to become ABANDUNED (35 0.5.C. \$ 133). is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
earnec	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status		201		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 21, 20			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
-	ition of Claims	t de la constitue de de la confice de la		
		is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 9-12, 17, 18, and 20	is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) 4, 7, 8, 13-16, 19, and 21-25	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)🔯	The drawing(s) filed on Sep 21, 2001 is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
,,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examine		
,.	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t			
12)□				
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☑ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
		ocuments have been received in this National Stage		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona	Il application has been received.		
15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
	ment(s)			
, ,	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/961144 Page 2

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 10-12, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maruyama (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 1, Maruyama (Fig. 1) discloses a feedforward amplifier comprising a first power splitter (S1), a main amplifier (main amplifier 1), a second power splitter (upper part of 6), a first delay circuit (2), a distortion detection power-combiner (bottom part of 6), a second delay circuit (3), an error amplifier (auxiliary amplifier 4), a distortion suppression power-combiner (5), and control means (7, 8, 9). As described above, Maruyama (Fig. 1) discloses all the limitations in the claim 1 except for that the first and second vector adjusters. However, these elements are well known in the feedforward amplifier art.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10-12, 17, 18 and 20, Maruyama (Fig. 1) discloses a feedforward amplifier comprising first signal level detection means (7).

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 10-12, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 12 of drawing) in view of Maruyama (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 1, applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 12 of drawing) discloses all the limitations in the claim 1 except for that the control means of at least stopping the operation of the error amplifier or the main amplifier. Maruyama (Fig. 1) discloses a feedforward amplifier comprising control means (7, 8, 9) which stops the operation of the error amplifier (auxiliary amplifier 4) when the detected level is lower than the reference level (see lines 12-16 of the abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to have employed the control means at between the input terminal and the error amplifier of applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 12 of drawing), such as taught by Maruyama (Fig. 1) in order to provide the advantageous benefit of reducing the power consumption of the feedforward amplifier.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10-12, 17, 18 and 20, Maruyama (Fig. 1) discloses a feedforward amplifier comprising first signal level detection means (7).

Application/Control Number: 09/961144

Art Unit:

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

5. Claims 4, 7, 8, 13-16, 19 and 21-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Allowance

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 4, the closest prior art of record, Maruyama (Fig. 1) does not disclose the following limitation: second signal level detection means. Regarding claims 7 and 8, the closest prior art of record, Maruyama (Fig. 1) does not disclose the following limitation: the distortion suppression power-combiner is a variable power-combiner which can have a tight coupling state and a loose coupling state. Regarding claims 13-16, the closest prior art of record, Maruyama (Fig. 1) does not disclose the following limitations: a third power splitter, a third delay circuit, a fourth power splitter, a fourth delay circuit, a second distortion detection power-combiner, a third vector adjuster, a second error amplifier, and a second distortion suppression power-combiner.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent numbers (4,617,522; 6,489,844) are the feedforward amplifiers.

Application/Control Number: 09/961144

Page 5

Art Unit:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8.

should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (703) 305-0576. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Pascal, can be

reached on (703) 308-4909.

Name: Henry Choe

As che

Art Unit: 2817

#679